Counting Commutativities in Finite Algebraic Systems

BRIAN DOLAN, DES MACHALE AND PETER MACHALE

ABSTRACT. We examine the total possible number of commutativities in a finite algebraic system, concentrating on groups, but also examining rings and semigroups. Numerical restrictions are found and bounds for the total number of commutativities in subgroups and factor groups are derived. Finally, a curious connection with group representations is explored.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the Cayley table of a finite group G. For $a, b \in G$, if ab = ba, we place a 1 in each of the boxes corresponding to ab and ba. This is called a commutativity in G. Otherwise we put a 0 in each of these boxes, indicating a non-commutativity in G. If G is an abelian group, there will be a 1 in each box, so we disregard this uninteresting case.

We call this matrix of 1's and 0's the commutativity chart for G. Here for example is the commutativity chart for S_3 , the group of all permutations on the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ under composition. S_3 is in fact the smallest non-abelian group.

	e	(123)	(132)	(12)	(13)	(23)
e	1	1	1	1	1	1
(123)	1	1	1	0	0	0
(132)	1	1	1	0	0	0
(12)	1	0	0	1	0	0
(13)	1	0	0	0	1	0
(23)	1	0	0	0	0	1

We denote by I(G) the number of times that 1 appears in the commutativity chart and by O(G) the number of times that 0 appears. Thus $I(S_3) = 18$ and $O(S_3) = 18$ also.

In general we see that $I(G) + O(G) = |G|^2$ and O(G) > 0 since we are assuming G is non-abelian. Also we have I(G) > 0 since for

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F99.

Key words and phrases. Commutativities, Groups.

Received on 29-3-2016.

example xx = xx for all $x \in G$. One of our objectives of this note will be to discuss the possible values of I(G) and O(G), where G is a finite non-abelian group and to investigate the values of I(S) and O(S) for other non-commutative algebraic systems S.

Since if $ab \neq ba$ then $ba \neq ab$ and xx = xx for all x, we see that O(G) is always an even number, but there are examples to show that I(G) can be either even or odd. For example, $I(A_4) = 48$, where A_4 is the alternating group of order 12, while I(G(21)) = 105, where G(21) is the non-abelian group of order 21. We emphasise that throughout, G denotes a finite non-abelian group.

2. Some Elementary Results

Let us recall some facts from elementary group theory. Two elements x and y in G are said to be conjugate if there exists $w \in G$ with $y = w^{-1}xw$. The relation of conjugacy is easily seen to be an equivalence relation on G, under which G is partitioned into disjoint conjugacy classes. For example, in the group S_3 , the conjugacy classes are $\{e\}, \{(123), (132)\}$ and $\{(12), (13), (23)\}$.

In general, let G have exactly k(G) conjugacy classes and let Cl(x)be the class containing x. Let $C_G(x)$, the centralizer of x in G, be the subgroup of G given by $C_G(x) = \{a \in G \mid ax = xa\}$. There is a nice connection between conjugacy classes and centralizers viz. $|Cl(x)| = (G : C_G(x))$, i.e. the number of cosets of $C_G(x)$ in G, and both these numbers are divisors of |G|.

From the definition, we have that

$$I(G) = \sum_{x \in G} |C_G(x)| = \sum_{x \in G} \frac{|G|}{|Cl(x)|}$$
$$= |G| \sum_{x \in G} \frac{1}{|Cl(x)|} = |G|k(G). \text{ See } [5].$$

It follows that $O(G) = |G|^2 - I(G) = |G|(|G| - k(G))$. Thus in the case of S_3 , since $k(S_3) = 3$, we have $I(G) = 6 \cdot 3 = 18$ and $O(G) = 6 \cdot (6-3) = 18$, in agreement with our previous calculations.

Theorem 2.1. If |G| is odd, then k(G) is odd.

Proof. If |G| is odd, since O(G) is even and O(G) = |G|(|G| - k(G)), we see that |G| - k(G) must be even, so k(G) is odd.

We note that the converse of this result is not true; $k(S_3) = 3$, but $|S_3| = 6$.

Theorem 2.2. I(G) is odd if and only if |G| is odd.

Proof. If |G| is odd then by Theorem 2.1 k(G) is odd, so I(G) = |G|k(G) is odd. Conversely, if I(G) is odd then |G| clearly must be odd.

In fact the smallest possible odd value of $I(G) = 105 = 21 \cdot 5$, arising from G(21), which is the smallest odd-order non-abelian group. We remark that Theorem 2.1, which says that if |G| is odd, then $|G| - k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, can be improved upon considerably using the theory of matrix group representations. A lovely theorem of Burnside [3] states that if |G| is odd, then $|G| - k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$.

Again G(21) shows that this result is the best possible. Since O(G) = |G|(|G| - k(G)) we have

Theorem 2.3. If |G| is odd, then O(G) is a multiple of 16|G|.

Again, $O(G(21)) = 336 = 16 \cdot 21$, shows that this result is the best possible.

We now investigate the possible values of I(G) and O(G) as G ranges over all finite non-abelian groups. For a given group G it is easy, if tedious, to calculate the value of k(G), and for certain classes of groups, and for groups of small order, this information is readily available from a variety of sources.

In particular let D_n be the dihedral group of order 2n (n > 2) given by

 $< a, b \mid a^n = 1 = b^2; b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} > b^2$

Then if n(=2m) is even, we have $k(D_{2m}) = m+3$, making $I(D_{2m}) = 4m(m+3) = 4m^2 + 12m$.

If n(=2m+1) is odd, then $k(D_{2m+1}) = m+2$, so $I(D_{2m+1}) = (4m+2)(m+2) = 4m^2 + 10m + 4$.

The values of $O(D_n)$ can be found from $O(G) = |G|^2 - I(G)$.

The symmetric group S_n of order n! has exactly p(n) conjugacy classes, where p(n) is the (integer) partition function, so $I(S_n) = n!p(n)$ and $O(S_n) = n!(n! - p(n))$.

For distinct odd primes p and q, with p < q where p|(q-1), there is a unique non-abelian group G(pq) of order pq. Easy calculations show that G(pq) has exactly $p + \frac{q-1}{p}$ conjugacy classes, so that $I(G(pq)) = q(p^2 + q - 1)$ and $O(G(pq)) = p^2q^2 - I(G) =$ $q(q-1)(p^2-1)$.

We now present a chart with three columns. In the first column are the possible orders of a finite non-abelian group G. In the second and third columns we give the values of I(G) and O(G) for each non-abelian group of order |G|. Since it is known that there are only finitely many groups with a given order and also only finitely many groups with a given number of conjugacy classes ([6], [9]), we see that there are just finitely many (maybe zero) groups with a given I(G) or a given O(G). Note that there may be several different groups of order |G| with the same k(G) and hence the same I(G)and O(G).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(G)	0(0	I(G)	G	O(G)	I(G)	G	O(G)	I(G)	G
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	152	115	1152	48	480	544	32	18	18	6
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	364	86	1440	48	816	340	34	24	40	8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800	180	700	50	1080	216	36	60	40	10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	500	15(1000	50	972	324	36	96	48	12
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	340	234	364	52	936	360	36	72	72	12
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	872	187	832	52	864	432	36	126	70	14
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	376	237	540	54	648	648	36	144	112	16
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	106	210	810	54	1026	418	38	96	160	16
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	944	194	972	54	1248	273	39	216	108	18
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	728	172	1188	54	1200	400	40	162	162	18
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	458	145	1458	54	1080	520	40	300	100	20
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	640	264	385	55	960	640	40	240	160	20
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	688	268	448	56	600	1000	40	336	105	21
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	184	218	952	56	1470	294	42	330	154	22
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	016	201	1120	56	1344	420	42	456	120	24
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	176	117	1960	56	1260	504	42	408	168	24
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	736	273	513	57	1134	630	42	384	192	24
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	436	243	928	58	882	882	42	360	216	24
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	300	33(300	60	1320	616	44	288	288	24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	060	306	540	60	1518	598	46	216	360	24
28 280 504 48 576 1728 60 1080 25	880	288	720	60	1920	384	48	468	208	26
	700	270	900	60	1824	480	48	432	297	27
$30 \ 270 \ 630 \ 48 \ 672 \ 1632 \ 60 \ 1200 \ 24$	520	252	1080	60	1728	576	48	504	280	28
	400	240	1200	60	1632	672	48	630	270	30
30 360 540 48 720 1584 60 1440 21	160	216	1440	60	1584	720	48	540	360	30
	800	180	1800	60	1536	768	48	450		30
32 352 672 48 864 1440					1440	864	48	672	352	32
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1296	1008	48	576	448	32

We note that for direct products of groups G_1 and G_2 , $I(G_1 \times G_2) = I(G_1)I(G_2)$ and $k(G_1 \times G_2) = k(G_1)k(G_2)$. However, $O(S_3)O(S_3) = 18 \cdot 18 = 324 \neq 972 = O(S_3 \times S_3)$.

By [7] we have $\frac{k(G)}{|G|} \leq \frac{5}{8}$ so $I(G) \leq \frac{5}{8}|G|^2$, and $O(G) \geq \frac{3}{8}|G|^2$. Also, by examining Cayley tables, it is clear that $I(G) \geq 3|G|-2$, so that $O(G) \leq |G|^2 - 3|G| + 2$. Thus, consulting the above charts, we see that the allowable values for I(G) are: 18, 40, 48, 70, 72, 100, 105, 108, 112, 120, 154, 160, 162, 168, 192, 208, 216, 270, 273, 280, 288, 294, 297, 300, 324, 340, 352, 360, 364, 384, 385, 400, 418, 432,...

Similarly the allowable values for O(G) are: 18, 24, 60, 72, 96, 126, 144, 162, 216, 240, 288, 300, 330, 336, 360, 384, 408, 432, 450, 456, 468, 480, 504, 540, 576, 600, 630, 648, 672,...

We mention that the function |G| - k(G) is examined in considerable detail in [1]. Also, one can show that I(G) = O(G) if and only if $G/Z(G) = S_3$, where Z(G) is the centre of G.

3. Subgroups and Factor Groups

Gallagher [4] gives elementary proofs of the following results for all finite groups G, where H is a subgroup of G and N is a normal subgroup of G.

- (i) k(H) < (G:H)k(G), for $H \neq G$;
- (ii) $k(G) \leq (G:H)k(H);$
- (iii) $k(G) \le k(G/N)k(N)$.

In our notation, these results immediately become

Theorem 3.1. (i) I(H) < I(G) if $H \neq G$; (ii) $I(G) \le (G : H)^2 I(H)$; (iii) $I(G/N) \ge I(G)/I(N)$.

Let $S = \{a, b\}$ be a set of cardinality 2. Define a binary operation * on S as follows

*	a	b
a	a	b
b	a	b

Easy calculations show that S is a non-commutative semigroup with I(S) = 2 = O(S), so the sequences of allowable value of I(S) and O(S) for semigroups are different from those of I(G) and O(G) for groups.

The reader is invited to determine the sequences of allowable values of I(S) and O(S) for non-commutative semigroups.

Moving on to rings, consider the following set of 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{Z}_2 under matrix addition and multiplication mod 2:

$$R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \}$$

It is easy to see that $\{R, +, \cdot\}$ is a non-commutative ring of order 4. The commutativity chart for $\{R, \cdot\}$ looks as follows:

	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{c}1&1\\1&1\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$	1	1	1	1
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$	1	1	0	0
$\left(\begin{array}{c}1&0\\1&0\end{array}\right)$	1	0	1	0
$\left(\begin{array}{c}1&1\\1&1\end{array}\right)$	1	0	0	1

Thus I(R) = 10 and O(R) = 6. This single example shows that the sequences of allowable values of I(R) and O(R) for finite rings are different from those for finite groups.

Again the reader is invited to investigate this problem for other algebraic systems such as near-rings, loops, quasigroups etc.

We remark that if S is a set with |S| = n we can always choose closed binary operations * and \circ on S such that I(S, *) = n (n > 1), and $O(S, \circ) = 2n$ (n arbitrary).

For example, if $S = \{a, b, c\}$ define * by

*	a	b	c	
a	a	a	c	
b	b	b	b	
c	a	С	c	

to achieve I(S, *) = 3 and similarly for the general case.

0	a	b	С
a	a	a	a
b	b	a	a
С	b	b	c

Also in the second example $O(S, \circ) = 6$ and similarly for the general case.

5. A Connection with Matrix Representations of Groups

There is a surprising connection between I(G) and matrix representations of G. For definitions we refer the interested reader to [5].

Let $d_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, be the degrees of the irreducible complex matrix representations of a finite group G i.e. the sizes of the square matrices involved. There are k(G) of these where G has k(G) conjugacy classes.

Let
$$T(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k(G)} d_i$$
.

[For example, for S_3 , $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (1, 1, 2)$ so $T(S_3) = 4$.] Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on $(1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and $(d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_k)$ as in [8], and remembering that $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 = |G|$, we find that

$$(T(G))^2 < k(G)|G| = I(G).$$
(G non-abelian)

Let us see how this inequality looks for some specific groups of small order.

[We use the notation Q_n for the dicyclic group of order 4n for n > 1where $Q_n = \langle a, b | a^{2n} = 1; b^2 = a^n, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$].

$(T(G))^2$	I(G)	
16	18	
36	40	
36	40	(quaternion group)
36	40	
64	72	
64	72	
36	48	
64	70	
100	120	
	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 64 \\ 64 \\ 36 \\ 64 \\ 36 \\ 64 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccc} 36 & 40 \\ 36 & 40 \\ 36 & 40 \\ 64 & 72 \\ 64 & 72 \\ 36 & 48 \\ 64 & 70 \\ \end{array}$

When we write $T(G) < \sqrt{I(G)}$ in a particular case such as D_4 , we get $T_4 < \sqrt{I(D_4)} = \sqrt{40} = 6.3245$. Now $T(D_4)$ is an integer so $T(D_4) \leq 6$ and 6 is actually the correct answer!

Similarly in the case of S_4 , we get $T(S_4) < \sqrt{120} = 10.95445$. Again $T(S_4)$ is an integer, so $T(S_4) \leq 10$ which gives the correct value of $T(S_4) = 10$.

It is remarkable that such a basic function as I(G), whose values can be read from the Cayley table, can be used to find information about T(G), which would appear to be a much more advanced group theoretic concept.

6. Analogues of I(G) and O(G)

There are so many analogies between k(G) and T(G) (as defined in section 5) that we make the following definitions: For a finite non-abelian group G, let N(G) = |G|T(G) and M(G) = |G|(|G| - T(G)).

It is not immediately clear what the interpretations of N(G) and M(G) are, but these functions have many properties analogous to I(G) and O(G). To save space we state results only, but methods of proof are very similar to those for results concerning I(G) and O(G). We remark that the properties of |G| - T(G) are examined in some detail in [2].

Theorem 6.1. I(G) < N(G) and O(G) > M(G).

Theorem 6.2. There are only finitely many groups G (maybe zero) with a given N(G) or a given M(G).

Theorem 6.3. N(G) is odd if and only if |G| is odd.

Theorem 6.4. If |G| is odd, M(G) is a multiple of 4|G|.

Theorem 6.5. If H is a proper subgroup of G, then N(H) < N(G).

Theorem 6.6. M(G) is always even.

Theorem 6.7. $N(G) < |G|^{\frac{3}{2}} (k(G))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Theorem 6.8. $N(G_1 \times G_2) = N(G_1) \cdot N(G_2).$

Theorem 6.9. For the non-abelian group G(pq), we have N(G) = pq(p+q-1) and M(G) = pq(p-1)(q-1), where p and q are distinct odd primes.

Theorem 6.10. $N(G) \leq \frac{3}{4}|G|^2$ and $M(G) \geq \frac{1}{4}|G|^2$.

Finally, we give a chart of values of N(G) and M(G) for nonabelian groups G of small order which leads to information about the sequences of allowable values of N(G) and M(G).

G	N(G)	M(G)	G	N(G)	M(G)
6	24	12	22	264	220
8	48	16	24	240	336
10	60	40	24	288	288
12	72	72	24	336	240
12	96	48	24	384	292
14	112	84	24	432	144
16	120	136	26	364	312
16	192	64	27	405	324
18	120	204	28	448	336
20	160	240	30	480	420
20	240	160	30	540	360
21	189	252	30	600	300

The sequence of allowable values of N(G) thus begins 24, 48, 60, 72, 96, 112, 120, 160, 189, 192, 240, 264, 288, ...

The sequence of allowable values of M(G) thus begins 12, 16, 40, 48, 64, 72, 84, 136, 144, ...

REFERENCES

- S.M. Buckley and D. MacHale: Conjugate Deficiency in Finite Groups, Bulletin of the Irish Mathematical Society, 71 (2013), 13–19.
- [2] S.M. Buckley, D. MacHale and A. Ní Shé: Degree Sum Deficiency in Finite Groups, Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, Vol. 115A No. 1 (2015), 1-11.
- [3] J.D. Dixon: *Problems in Group Theory*, Dover Publications, 2007.
- [4] P.X. Gallagher: The Number of Conjugacy Classes in a Finite Group, Mathematische Zeitschrift, Vol. 118 No. 3 (1970), 175–179.
- [5] W. Lederman: Introduction to Group Characters, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [6] I.D. MacDonald: The Theory of Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1968.
- [7] D. MacHale: How Commutative Can a Non-Commutative Group Be?, The Mathematical Gazette, Vol. 58 No. 405 (1974), 199–202.
- [8] A. Mann: Finite Groups containing Many Involutions, Proceedings of the American Math. Soc., Vol. 122 No. 2, October (1994), 383–385.
- [9] D.J.S. Robinson: A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer, 1993.

Brian Dolan is a mathematical graduate of University College Cork. He works currently in Computer Science in the UK.

Des MacHale is Emeritus Professor of Mathematics at University College Cork where he taught for nearly forty years. His mathematical interests are in abstract algebra but he also works in number theory, geometry, combinatorics and the

DOLAN, MACHALE AND MACHALE

70

history of mathematics. His other interests include humour, geology and words. **Peter MacHale** is the Systems Manager in the Insight Centre for Data Analytics, Computer Science Department in University College Cork. His interests are constraint programming and graph theory. His other interests include music, science fiction and gaming.

(Brian Dolan and Des MacHale) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK

(Peter MacHale) INSIGHT CENTRE FOR DATA ANALYTICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK

E-mail address: curlyjim@gmail.com, d.machale@ucc.ie, p.machale@ucc.ie